

UNIT 7

THE PROOF METHOD: EIGHT BASIC INFERENCE RULES

Statement forms and statement instances

The structure of the proof process

Eight basic inference rules

STATEMENT FORMS AND STATEMENT INSTANCES

- **Statement form:** The structure of a sentence. A formula that has sentential variables as its smallest unit.
- **Sentential variables:** Letters that might stand for any value
- **Statement instance:** A formula that has sentential constants as its smallest unit.
- **Sentential constants:** Letters that have a definite, particular value
- Statement forms have **substitution instances** when its variables are replaced by:
 - 1) a simple formula (a single constant letter)
 - 2) complex formulas

THE STRUCTURE OF THE PROOF PROCESS

- **Proof:** A chain of reasoning which starts with some premises and deduces the conclusion through a series of intermediate steps.
- Every step taken is recorded and numbered.
- Every new step follows from the previous steps, according to **certain specified rules of inference**.
- **Rule of inference:** A basic pattern of reasoning, according to which a conclusion of a certain form may be inferred from premises of a certain form.

EIGHT BASIC INFERENCE RULES

- **Rules for the conditional:**

- Modus Ponens (M.P.)
- Modus Tollens (M.T.)
- Hypothetical Syllogism (H.S.)

- **Rules for conjunction:**

- Simplification (Simp.)
- Conjunction (Conj.)

- **Rules for disjunction:**

- Disjunctive Syllogism (D.S.)
- Addition (Add.)

- **Combined:**

- Dilemma (Dil.)

RULES FOR THE CONDITIONAL:

MODUS PONENS (M.P.)

- If you have a conditional and **the antecedent** of the conditional, you can infer **the consequent** of the conditional

- 1. $p \supset q$

- 2. p

\therefore 3. q

M.P. 1, 2

RULES FOR THE CONDITIONAL:

MODUS TOLLENS (M.T.)

- If you have a conditional and the **negation of the consequent** of the conditional, you can infer the **negation of the antecedent**.

- 1. $p \supset q$

- 2. $\sim q$

- _____

- \therefore 3. $\sim p$ M.T. 1, 2

-

RULES FOR THE CONDITIONAL: HYPOTHETICAL SYLLOGISM (H.S.)

- If you have **two conditionals**, and **the consequent of the first one is the antecedent of the second one**, you can infer a conditional that has the antecedent of the first conditional as antecedent, and the consequent of the second conditional as consequent.
- 1. $p \supset q$
- 2. $q \supset r$
- _____
- $\therefore 3. p \supset r$ H.S. 1, 2

RULES FOR CONJUNCTION: SIMPLIFICATION (SIMP.)

- If you have a conjunction, you can infer **either of its conjuncts**.
- This rule has two forms:

- $I.p \cdot q$

- $\underline{\hspace{2cm}}$

- $\therefore I.p$ Simp. I

- $I.p \cdot q$

- $\underline{\hspace{2cm}}$

- $\therefore I.q$ Simp. I

RULES FOR CONJUNCTION:

CONJUNCTION (CONJ.)

- For **any two statements** you have, you can infer the conjunction of those statements.
- 1. p
- 2. q
- _____
- $\therefore p \cdot q$ Conj. 1, 2.

RULES FOR DISJUNCTION: DISJUNCTIVE SYLLOGISM (D.S.)

- If you have a disjunction and **one of the disjuncts is negated**, you can infer the other disjunct.

- This rule has two forms:

- 1. $p \vee q$

- 2. $\sim p$

- _____

- $\therefore 3. q$ D.S. 1, 2.

- 1. $p \vee q$

- 2. $\sim q$

- _____

- $\therefore p$ D.S. 1, 2.

RULES FOR DISJUNCTION:

ADDITION (ADD.)

- For **any statement you have**, you can construct a disjunction **with any other statement**.
- $I.p$
- _____
- $\therefore p \vee q$ Add. I

DILEMMA (DIL.)

- If you have **two conditionals** and a **disjunction formed with the antecedents** of the conditionals, you can infer a **disjunction formed with the consequents** of the conditionals.
- 1. $p \supset q$
- 2. $r \supset s$
- 3. $p \vee r$
- _____
- \therefore 4. $q \vee s$ Dil. 1, 2, 3.